

CS401 - Problem Set 8

1. (a) Without oracles, we proved $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{PSPACE}$ using simulation. This is because, in our proof, the $\mathbf{PSPACE}$ machine simulates what the $\mathbf{NP}$ machine does. Show that the proof still works for oracular machines. That is, prove that for every language O , $\mathbf{NP}^O \subseteq \mathbf{PSPACE}^O$.
(b) The example from part (a) should help you see why simulation proofs “relativize.” Please explain more generally why, if you prove $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ using a simulation, then for any oracle O , $\mathbf{A}^O \subseteq \mathbf{B}^O$? (This need not be a formal proof - try to make your explanation as simple as possible.)
2. (a) Prove (or sketch a proof) that for every language O , $\mathbf{DTIME}(n)^O \subseteq \mathbf{DTIME}(n^{1.5})^O$.
(b) The example from part (a) should help you see why diagonalization proofs “relativize.” Please explain more generally why, if you prove $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ using a diagonalization, then for any oracle O , $\mathbf{A}^O \subseteq \mathbf{B}^O$? (This need not be a formal proof - try to make your explanation as simple as possible. Think about what properties of TMs were needed for diagonalization, and argue those properties are still present for oracular TMs.)
3. [Problem from Class] Prove $\mathbf{coNP} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{SAT}}$, where recall $\mathbf{SAT}$ is the language of Boolean formulas that have a satisfying assignment.