Shortest Path Problem Input:  $G = (V_1 E)$ ,  $W : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $S, E \in V$ , |V| = n, |E| = M, directed Output: Path P from 3 to t in G e.g. P= ((S,u), (u,v), ... (r,t)) « sequence of connected edges Such that L(P) = Z w(e) is minimized Shortest Path: ((s,t)) Example: G: 41.79.4 2 Negative Cycle 1.5 1-3 +2 Various Approaches -> edges have positive wt. · Greedy Dijkstra's · Brute Force > Bread th-First Search > edges all have weight 1 · Dynamic -> Bellman-Ford -> edges can have negative wt -> can work with global or distributed G → fails if Must avoid neg. cycles

Dijkstra's Algorithm Input: G = (V, E),  $S \in V$ , |V| = n,  $W = \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ Output: N-dimensional arrays L, P s.L. L[v]: length of shortest path from s to V in G P[V] = Shorstest path from s to v in G X~353 // X is set of visited vertices L[s]=0Base case  $P[5] \leftarrow \phi$ While there is an edge from  $X + \overline{X}$ : C is set of red edges  $C \leftarrow \{(u,v): U \in X, v \in X\}$  $(N^*, V^*) \in \operatorname{argmin} \{ \{ u, v \} \in C \}$ Dijkstra's criterion "(u\*,v\*) has minimal Dijkstrais  $\Gamma[\Lambda_{+}] \sim \Gamma[\Lambda_{+}] + M(\Lambda_{+}^{*}\Lambda_{+})$ Criterion'  $P[v^*] \sim P[u^*] + (u^*, v^*)$ (+ means append) X = X V q V t q

X = 353Example L(S]=02  $P[5] = \phi$ 5 While there is an edge from  $X + \overline{X}$ :  $C \leftarrow \zeta(u,v) : U \in X, v \in X$  $X = \{s\}, X = \{u, v\}$  $(N^*, V^*) \in \operatorname{argmin} \{L[u] + W(u, v)\}$ · U (N,V)&C 2  $C = \mathcal{A}(S, \mathcal{U}), (S, \mathcal{V})$  $L[V^*] \leftarrow L[U^*] + W(u^*, v^*)$  $P[v^*] \leftarrow P[u^*] + (u^*, v^*)$  $A(S_1 + W(S, M))$ X = X V q V t z 5 (S, W)  $\Lambda(SJ+W(S,V))$ U D + X = S S, UZ [S, () \ (S, u), (u, v) $C = {}^{3}(S_{1}v), (u,v)$  $X = \frac{1}{2} S_1 U_1 V \overline{3}$ U  $\chi = 35, u\xi$ 2 A[S] + W(S,V)A(n + w(n, v)) $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ D. t. 4.=4. · +. = 3 2

Dijkstra's Algorithm Input: G = (V, E),  $S \in V$ , |V| = n,  $W : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ Output: N-dimensional arrays L, P s.t. L[v]: length of shortest path from s to v in G P[v] = shorstest path from s to v in G // X is set of visited vertices X ~ 353 L STED Base case Show Dijkstra's P[S]+ ¢ alg: fails with While there is an edge from  $X + \overline{X}$ : Negative weights  $| (- \xi(u,v): u \in X, v \in \overline{X} \xi)$  $(N^*, V^*) \in \operatorname{argmin} \{L[u] + W(u, v)\}$  $[u, v) \in C$ 5-2-4  $\left[ \left[ V^{*} \right] - \left[ \left[ U^{*} \right] + W \left( V^{*} \right] \sqrt{*} \right) \right]$  $P[v^*] \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} P[u^*] + (u^*, v^*)$ Under what conditions X = X V Z V Z can Dijkstra's alg have Neg. weights but be successful?

Thm: Dijkstrais alg Correctly returns the shortest path Pf: We will prove using induction on N=|X| that Dijkstra's alg correctly assigns L[v] and  $P[v] \forall v \in X$ . When N=1,  $X=\{s\}$  and L(s]=0,  $P(s]=\phi$  are correct b/c you can get from 5 to itself with no edges in O length. Let K=1. Assume for induction that Dijkstra's alg. Correctly assigns L[v] and P[v] Y vex when |X|=K Suppose Dijkstra's alg. is about to add the (K+1)<sup>th</sup> element to X. Let  $(u_1^*, v_1^*) = \arg \min \{ \{ L[u] + w(u, v) \}, so Dijkstra's chooses$  $(u, v) \in C \}$ V\* to be (K+1) the element of X and sets P[v\*]= P[u\*]+(u\*,v\*) and  $L[v^*] = L[u^*] + W(u^*, v^*)$ . We need to prove these assign-Ments are correct.

Suppose for contradiction that P=P[u\*]+(u\*,v\*) is not the shortest path from S to V\*. Let P\* = P be the optimal. L(a>b): length of P\*'s path from a tob path. X S X O Y Y X  $L(S \rightarrow X)$ ,  $L(y \rightarrow V^{*})$  $W(x_1y)$ S X Y Vt (Xy): 1st edge in P\* (stretched out) C to appear in Pt  $L(S \rightarrow K) + W(X,Y) + L(Y \rightarrow V^{*})$  $S_{0} \perp (P^{*}) =$ 2.1 Thus  $L(P^*) \ge L(P)$ , contradicting the fact that  $P^*$  was optimal and P was not.

 $L(P^*) = L(S \rightarrow X) + W(X,Y) + L(Y \rightarrow Y^*)$  $\geq \left[ \left( S \rightarrow X \right) + W \left( X, Y \right) \right]$  $(b/c L(y \rightarrow v^*) \geq 0)$  $\geq L[X] + W(X,Y)$ b/c Pt's path from sto x will be at least as long as shortes path from 5 to X. By induction assumption, L[x] is length of shortest path from Sdo since Dijkstra chose (11\*,11\*) to minimize Dijkstra's criterion  $\geq L[u^*] + w(u^*,v^*)$ 

= L(P)

. . . . . . . . . .

What is the runtime of Dijkstra's alg as written? What data structure should you use to improve? What is runtime with improved data structure?

Xezsz L (STED P[5]+¢ (faster!) While there is an edge from X to X: vertices in X  $C \leftarrow Z(u,v) = U \in X, v \in X$ Store edges tor C in  $(N^*, V^*) \in \operatorname{argmin}_{[u,v) \in C} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} | u_i v_i \} \in C$ Min Heap! (priority gueue)  $L\left[V^{*}\right] \leftarrow L\left[V^{*}\right] + W\left(U^{*},V^{*}\right)$  $P\left[ v^{*} \right] \leftarrow P\left[ v^{*} \right] \leftarrow \left[ v^{*} \right] + \left[ v^{*} \right] + \left[ v^{*} \right] \right]$ X = X V G V + 3

Objects in Priority Queue: vertices V & X attributes Vertex Object Name: (v Priority Queue ordered  $+W(M_{1}v)$ Kry, Min Ne X L[N] Key value prior: larg min L[u] + W(u, V)N.E. Х In this situation, what should v prior be set to? 417=5 01 U) )15 B 8  $\mathcal{W}$  $\mathcal{N}$ WO L[W]=7

Dijkstra's X ~ 353 Min Heap L [5]=0 O(nlogn) Mittalize N items in heap O(logn) Remove mint item P[5]+ \$ //Initialize Heap O(logn) · Insert new item For  $U: (S, U) \in E$ : If have already. n. key e w(s,u) found item N. priore S Insert 11 into heap H For other u e X not yet in heap: 1 U. Keye a U. prior  $\leftarrow \phi$ Insert 11 into heap H

While  $H \neq \phi$ : V' « H. pop // 11 automatically has minimum Dijkstra's criterion  $X \leftarrow X \cup \overline{Y}$ L[V\*] = V\* Key P P[v\*] & P[v\*, prior] + (v\*prior, v\*) Vertex Objec attributes adjList [ Name: V // Update Heap  $K_{4}$ , Min L[u] + W(N,v)  $N \in X$ For r: (vt,r) EE Remove r from H Drive: argmin [[u]+w(u,V) |fr.key>[[v]+w(v\*,r): NEX  $r.key \in L[v*] + w(v*,r)$ r, prior < v\* Keinsert r into H N Why is runtime O((n+m) logn)) adj. list? with

Dijkstra's X ~ 353 Min Heap O(1)L [57=0 O(nlogn) Mittalize N items in heap O(logn) · Remove min ditem  $P[5] \neq \phi$ //Initialize Heap O(logn) · Insert new item For MEV-253:  $If'(s,u) \in E$ : if have already.  $u. key \in W(s, u)$ found item · U. prior E.S Else:  $O(n \log(n))$ N. Key & 2 U. prior  $\leftarrow \phi$ Insert 11 into heap H

While H=p: O(n) (O(nlogn) | U=H pop O(logn) (O(nlogn) 14100 -> 6 > [u, w, r, t  $\mathcal{O}$ L[U] = U. Key P[u] & P[u. prior] + (u. prior, u // Update Heap D(mlogn) For V: (U,V) E E ~ O(# edges of A Each vertex is popped once. When popped, go thru Remove v from H Ollogn)  $\begin{aligned} &| f v. key > L[u] + w(u,v) : \\ &| v. key < L[u] + w(u,v) \\ &| v. prior < U \end{aligned}$ its adj list. Never check that list again... Keinsert v into H  $D(\log n)$ N Why is runtime O((n+m) logn)) with adj. list? Q -

Compare to Bellman Ford: O((n+m)n)

7 = sum of items in list

. U 1 V V S £

5 U 5 U

HUX SV X SUT X ST  $\mathcal{M}$ 

For UEV: Print to

For  $V \in (U, v) \in E$ :

Print V &

t t

Ú