1. Suppose you are hosting a music festival, and are trying to decide which bands should play in the prime-time slot. You randomly surveyed 100 of the registered attendees to have them rank the bands who will be at the festival. You have an unlimited number of stages, so you can have many different bands play at the same time. You would like to choose a subset of bands to play during the prime time spot such that (1) most attendees will be excited about at least one of the bands playing in the primetime slot, and also (2) most attendees will not be excited about more than one of the bands playing in the primetime slot (since these people would really be bummed that they have to make a choice and only see one of the bands that they paid to see). For example, suppose some of the attendees like jazz, and some like metal, but not many people like both jazz and metal. Then you could have the most popular jazz band and the most popular metal band play the prime time slot. This would be better than having both of your jazz bands play during the prime time slot, even if they are both more popular overall than any of the metal bands, because then the metal fans would not have anyone to watch in the prime time slot, and the jazz fans would be annoyed that they would have to pick one of the bands to watch and couldn’t see both.

(a) Describe a possible reduction from the Music Festival problem to the Max Weight Independent Set (MWIS) problem. The input to the Music Festival problem is a list of bands and the survey data from the 100 attendees, and the output should be a set of bands to play in the prime time slot. (There is more than one way to do the reduction - you should make choices based on what you think will be best for your music festival.)

(b) Explain why the reduction you described gives a good solution to the Music Festival problem. Do you have any ethical or societal concerns?

(c) Is the Music Festival problem polynomial time reducible to MWIS?

2. Suppose you are living in a country that has a coin worth 6 cents, a coin worth 4 cents, and a coin worth 1 cent. This society also values having small wallets, so whenever they make change, they want to use as few coins as possible. For example, if they need to make 8 cents worth of change, they would rather return two 4-cent coins (2 total coins), rather than a 6-cent coin, and two 1-cent coins, (3 total coins). You are tasked with creating a program for cash registers that will help make change using the least number of coins.

   • **Input:** An integer $n$
Output: A list \((m_6, m_4, m_1)\) of non-negative integers such that \(n = 6m_6 + 4m_4 + m_1\) and \(m_6 + m_4 + m_1\) is minimized. (Here \(m_i\) represents the number of \(i\)-cent coins that should be returned, and \(m_6 + m_4 + m_1\) is the total number of coins returned; the output should make the correct change for \(n\) while using the fewest number of coins.)

(a) Challenge: Design a dynamic programming algorithm to solve this problem by following the same process we went through in class for MWIS. (If you would like guidance continue to the next question).

(b) The sub-problems we’ll consider are how to make change for 1 cents, 2 cents, 3 cents, ... up to \(n\) cents. Let \(S_i = (m_{(i,6)}, m_{(i,4)}, m_{(i,1)})\) be the optimal solution for making \(i\) cents, where \(m_{(i,6)}\) is the optimal number of 6-cent coins used in making \(i\) cents, \(m_{(i,4)}\) is the optimal number of 4-cent coins used in making \(i\) cents, and \(m_{(i,1)}\) is the optimal number of 1-cent coins used in making \(i\) cents.

When making change, you select one coin, then add another, then add another, until you add a final coin that together with the other coins totals the desired amount. Given this way of thinking about making change, what are the final options for our problem?

(c) Create a recurrence relation for \(S_n\) based on each final option.

(d) What are the base cases of your recurrence relation?

(e) Explain why the recurrence relation you determined makes this problem an appropriate candidate for dynamic programming, rather than a recursive algorithm.

(f) Based on the recurrence relation from the previous parts, create a recurrence relation for \(C_i\), the fewest number of coins needed to create \(i\) cents. In this part, you are converting to a recurrence relation for the objective function value of subproblems.

(g) Using your recurrence relations, fill in the pseudocode below:
Algorithm 1: CoinCounter(n)

Input : \( n \geq 1 \)
Output: \( (m_6, m_4, m_1) \), the optimal number of 6-, 4- and 1-cent coins to make \( n \) cents.

// Fill in array \( A \) such that \( A[i] \) is the minimum number of coins needed to create \( n \) cents
1 \( A[0] \leftarrow 0; \)

// Work backwards through \( A \) to get values for \( (m_6, m_4, m_1) \), the optimal number of 6-, 4- and 1-cent coins to make \( n \) cents.
2 \( m_6 \leftarrow 0; \)
3 \( m_4 \leftarrow 0; \)
4 \( m_1 \leftarrow 0; \)
5 \( k \leftarrow n; \)
6 \( \text{while } k \geq 1 \) do
7 \( \) end
8 \( \text{return } (m_6, m_4, m_1); \)

(h) What is the runtime of your algorithm?

3. Selection\((A, k)\) finds the \( k \)th smallest element of an array \( A \). For example, if \( A = [3, 11, 20, 6, 7, 38] \) and \( k = 3 \), then Selection\((A, k)\) returns 7. Here is pseudocode for a divide and conquer algorithm for Selection.

In this week’s pset, you’ll analyze lucky and unlucky scenarios. In next week’s pset, you will analyze what happens in the random case.

Note that in the following pseudocode I index \( A \) starting at 1, not 0. \( A[s, f] \) is the subarray of \( A \) from index \( s \) to \( f \) inclusive of both \( s \) and \( f \).
Algorithm 2: Selection\((A, k)\)

**Input**: An array \(A\) of \(n\) integers indexed starting at 1 and an integer \(k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\)

**Output**: The \(k\)th smallest element of \(A\).

1. \(piv \leftarrow \text{ChoosePivot}(A);\)
2. \(\text{Partition}(A, piv);\)
3. Let \(p\) be the index of the pivot after \(\text{Partition};\)
4. if \(p = k\) then
   5. return \(A[p];\)
5. end
6. if \(p < k\) then
   7. // Everything larger than the pivot, including the \(k\)th element, is to the right of \(p\)
   8. return Selection\((A[p+1:n], k-p);\)
9. else
   10. // Everything smaller than the pivot, including the \(k\)th element, is to the left of \(p\)
   11. return Selection\((A[1:p-1], k);\)
12. end

(a) Create and analyze a recurrence relation for the runtime of this algorithm if \(\text{ChoosePivot}\) somehow always chooses the pivot to be the median element the array, and the array is originally size \(n\).

(b) Create and analyze a recurrence relation for the runtime of this algorithm if \(\text{ChoosePivot}\) somehow always chooses the pivot to be the smallest element the array, and the array is originally size \(n\).

4. Tying into our learning about QuickSort, we will discuss the societal consequences of one of the most ubiquitous sorting algorithms in use today: internet search engine sorting algorithms, particularly Google’s PageRank algorithm that sorts websites based on what you type into the search bar. (Google Search does not use QuickSort! This is a thematic rather than literal tie-in.) People rarely go beyond the first page of search results, so the way the Google sorts and prioritizes results has a large effect on the type of information users are exposed to.

**Note**: the following pieces discuss racist and sexual images/text, but do not contain explicit content.

Please watch/read at least one of the following:

- [Dr. Safiya Noble’s Ted Talk](#)
- [Dr. Safiya Noble’s TIME article](#)
Write a brief response/reflection on at least some of the following questions, and be prepared to share your thoughts in class.

(a) Based on the examples Dr. Noble brings up, what type of user/content is Google prioritizing in its top search results? How is this at odds with how Google attempts to portray its sorting of content, and how many people perceive the objectivity of its results? (Take a look at the design of the Google Homepage - what signals is the design trying to send the user about its results?)

(b) What groups of people are most harmed/receive the most benefit from the way that Google chooses to sort its search results? How are these effects exacerbated when most people believe Google search results to be accurate/objective?

(c) How often do you question whether the top page Google results are the most relevant for your search? Are there searches that you typically “Google” that you might now think about asking of other resources? What types of searches and what other types of resources?

(d) How does Google make money? How can websites with access to money/power influence search results? How do these factors tie into the search results Google prioritizes in its algorithm? Why is this problematic?