1. Read/Watch the following on robotics:

- Impact of Growing Robotics in China (Youtube 6 min)
- Infographic on Manufacturing Employment/Robot Use
- Study on Modern Workforce

As we did in class for an algorithm to improve air traffic control, consider a hypothetical closest points algorithm that would improve robot performance in manufacturing, and answer the following questions:

(a) Brainstorm all stakeholders.
(b) Who might benefit from this algorithm (applied to this domain)?
(c) Who might be harmed by this algorithm (applied to this domain)?
(d) Would this application likely reinforce or counteract existing inequalities?
(e) Would you feel comfortable (from an ethical perspective) implementing this algorithm in this context?

2. Please write a formal proof for the correctness of the closest points algorithm using strong induction. You should combine all of the pieces we discussed in class into a proof that is easy to read and understand. You may use figures (pictures) in your proof, but you should clearly explain what is happening in the figure using English. The goal of this problem is to clearly and concisely explain complex mathematical/algorithmic ideas in English. I would recommend typing your proof so that it is easy to make edits. You should not turn in the first version you write - make sure you reread and make changes for clarity and correctness. For reference, my proof is about a page typed. In your proof, please reference to the following algorithm:

**ClosestPair**\((P)\) (where \(P\) is an array containing \(x\)-coordinates and \(y\)-coordinates of \(n\) points, where no two points have the same \(x\)- or \(y\)-coordinate.)

Step 1: If \(|P| \leq 3\) use brute force search and return closest distance.
Step 2: Sort by \(x\)-coordinate into sets \(L\) and \(R\)
Step 3: \(\delta = \min\{\text{ClosestPair}(L), \text{ClosestPair}(R)\}\)
Step 4: Create \(Y_\delta\), an array of points within \(\delta\) of midline between \(L\) and \(R\), sorted by \(y\)-coordinate.
Step 5: Loop through elements of \(Y_\delta\), and calculate distance from each point to next \([\text{number TBD in class}]\) points, keeping track of \(\delta'\), the smallest distance found.
Step 6: Return \(\min\{\delta, \delta'\}\)

3. In 3 dimensions, the distance between two points \(p_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)\) and \(p_j = (x_j, y_j, z_j)\) is \(D(p_i, p_j) = \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2}\). In this problem, we’ll think about how to adapt our 2D Closest Points algorithm to 3D points.
(a) I can describe the general idea of our 2D Closest Points algorithm as follows: “For a small number of points, do a brute force search. Otherwise, divide the points into a left and right half, and recursively solve to find the closest distance in each half. Now we just need to check points that cross from one half to the other across the mid-line. However, we only need to worry about a region close the this midline, and so we end up being concerned with a line-like strip. So we use an approach similar to our algorithm for Closest Points in 1D (a line) to deal with this strip.” Please give a similar description for a divide and conquer algorithm for Closest Points in 3D. Please make an attempt at this part before moving on to the next step.

(b) On the final page of the problem set is pseudocode to solve the 3D Closest Points problem. What number should replace the “??” in Algorithm 2, line 5? (It should be a specific constant, like “10.”) Please explain your reasoning. For this problem, choose the number that you can most easily explain. Do not worry about finding the smallest number possible.

(c) **Challenge:** What is the smallest possible number you could choose in part (b)? Please justify.
**Algorithm 1: DivideFrontBack($P$)**

**Input**: Set of 3D points $P$.

**Output**: The distance of the closest pair of points

1. If $|P| \leq 3$, brute force search;
2. Split points into front ($F$) and back ($B$) halves by $z$-coordinate around the midline $z_{mid}$;
3. $\delta^* = \min\{\text{DivideFrontBack}(F), \text{DivideFrontBack}(B)\}$;
4. Create $P_{\delta^*}$, an array of points whose $z$-coordinates are within $\delta^*$ of $z_{mid}$;
5. Return $\text{DivideLeftRight}(P_{\delta^*}, \delta^*, z_{mid})$.

**Algorithm 2: DivideLeftRight($P, \delta^*, z_{mid}$)**

**Input**: Set 3D points $P$, values $\delta^*$ and $z_{mid}$, such that all points in $P$ have $z$-coordinate within $\delta^*$ of $z_{mid}$

**Output**: The distance of the closest pair of points in $P$, or $\delta^*$, whichever is smaller

1. If $|P| \leq 3$, brute force search;
2. Split points into left ($L$) and right ($R$) halves by $x$-coordinate around the midline $x_{mid}$;
3. $\delta = \min\{\delta^*, \text{DivideLeftRight}(L, \delta^*, z_{mid}), \text{DivideLeftRight}(R, \delta^*, z_{mid})\}$;
4. Let $Y_{\delta}$ be the set of points sorted by $y$-coordinate whose $x$ coordinate is within $\delta$ of $x_{mid}$ and whose $z$ coordinate is within $\delta$ of $z_{mid}$;
5. Loop through the elements of $Y_{\delta}$, checking the distance between each point and the next ?? points, and let $\delta'$ be the smallest distance found;
6. Return $\min\{\delta, \delta'\}$;