S.KIMMEL

Goals

- Describe Iff Proof

- Describe and write Proof by cases

Pand only if

(P)Q) \(\lambda\) | logically P \(\in\) Q equivalent to

Stricture

For the forward direction, [Proof of P->Q]

For the backward direction [Proof of Q->P]

Proof By Cases

We've already seen: When you proved

(P > a) V (a > R) = S is true.

We will prove S is true for any value of Q. There are two cases: Q is true or Q is false.

P Case 1: If Q is true.... therefore S is true

P Case 2: If Q is false... Therefore S is true.

ex: Prove YNEZ, n³-n is even.

We will prove n³-n is even for all integers n. There are two cases: n is even or n is odd.

Case 1: If n is even,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ : 2k = n. Plugging in, we have  $n^3 - n = 8k^3 - 2k = 2(4k^3 - k)$ .

Since 4K3-KEZ, N3-N is even

Case 2: If h is odd, ∃ K ∈ Z: 2k+1=n. Plugging in, we have

 $N^{3}-N = N(N^{2}-1) = (2K+1)(4K+4) = 2((2K+1)(2K+2))$ Since  $(2K+1)(2K+2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N^{3}-N$  is even. SKIMMEL What if statement is not of the form P-0? What if just have P? Suppose you can show PIQITPITQ TP > Q -P>-Q (Arect)  $P \rightarrow Q$ (Arect)  $P \rightarrow P$ Proof needs Structure: (Prove P) For contradiction, assume TP We proceed by contraliction. We assume -P. Therefore, Q However Therefore, -Q, a contradiction. Thus, P.

Proofs Page 3